

# 2014-15 Budget Update

## May 1 vs. May 30 Proposals

Presented 6/5/14

# Explanations/Clarifications

- Savings from one fund (i.e. water and sewer) cannot be transferred to general
- The City cannot charge separately for police, fire or other general fund items
- The budget is balanced under the assumption that there is a 0% cost of living adjustment with police and CSEA, this is subject to negotiations

# Highlights

- No general fund use of fund balance
- No increases in the following rates:
  - Existing City hall fees (treasurer, clerk, code enforcement, parking fees, etc.)
  - Water rates
  - Sewer rates
  - Solid-Waste tipping fees
- No layoffs

# General Fund Budget Changes

- Mayor and Council
  - \$750 decrease in special projects
- Finance Department
  - \$1,000 decrease in consulting fees
  - \$2,000 decrease in travel/training
- City Clerk
  - \$500 decrease in office equipment
  - \$1,000 decrease in travel/training

# General Fund Budget Changes

- Corporation Counsel
  - \$2,359 salary line adjustment (decrease)
- Civil Service
  - \$600 decrease in travel/training
- Records Management
  - \$167 decrease in temporary/part-time

# General Fund Budget Changes

- Central Services
  - \$3,000 decrease in postage
  - \$5,000 decrease in office supplies
- Contingency
  - \$57,500 decrease
- Police Department
  - \$230,000 increase in salaries and related expenses
- Fire Department
  - \$425,582 decrease in salaries and related expenses

# General Fund Budget Changes

- Code Enforcement
  - \$2,000 decrease in vehicle maintenance (under the assumption the new police cars are funded)
- Public Works Administration
  - \$500 decrease in travel/training
- Street Maintenance
  - \$15,000 decrease in vehicle maintenance/repairs
- Snow Removal
  - \$10,000 decrease in operating supplies
- Refuse Collection
  - \$712,000 increase in transfer to refuse fund

# General Fund Budget Changes

- Urban Forestry
  - \$1,500 decrease in operating supplies
  - \$1,000 decrease in fuel
  - \$1,000 decrease in vehicle maintenance/repairs
- Health Insurance
  - \$210,000 decrease due to funding mechanism change
- Debt Stabilization Reserve
  - \$98,000 decrease



# General Fund Revenue Changes

- Raise Tax Levy to Cap
  - \$398,000 increase
- Refuse Admin Charges and ROI
  - \$170,000 decrease
- Bed Tax
  - \$125,000 decrease
- No fee increases

# Tax Levy

- Levy (tax cap)
  - Regular Tax \$8,869,447 (4.64%)
  - Debt Service Coverage \$2,897,100 (5.64%)
  - Total \$11,766,547 (4.89%)
- Increase to \$100,000 house = \$61.52
- 2014 County Tax Levy Increase 4.35%
- 2014-15 School Tax Levy Increase 1.34%
  - Note: The District is receiving an additional \$2.25 million in state aid this fiscal year

# Other Fund Changes

- Solid-Waste (new rates now in place)
  - \$200,000 decrease in revenue
- Power Utility (operations now underway)
  - \$55,000 increase in electric
  - \$4,000 increase in telephone and other utilities
- Refuse (change in proposal)
  - \$228,264 decrease in ROI, Admin and capital reserves
  - \$940,000 decrease in revenues
  - \$711,736 increase in transfer from general fund

# Total Budget – All Funds

	<b>Fiscal 2014</b>	<b>Fiscal 2015</b>	<b>\$ Change</b>	<b>% Change</b>	
General	34,617,760	33,271,763	(1,345,997)	-3.89%	*
Solid-Waste	3,841,650	3,491,652	(349,998)	-9.11%	**
Power Utility	1,470,766	1,815,500	344,734	23.44%	***
Water	3,853,000	4,082,800	229,800	5.96%	*
Sewer	7,353,000	7,620,506	267,506	3.64%	*
Refuse	993,413	1,067,736	74,323	7.48%	

\*General, water and sewer budgets are net of reserve contributions to be comparable to fiscal 2014.

\*\*Solid Waste is net of principal debt payments to be comparable with fiscal 2014.

\*\*\*The increase is due to the prior year being under budgeted and new debt payments.

# Total Revenues – All funds

	<b>Fiscal 2014</b>	<b>Fiscal 2015</b>	<b>\$ Change</b>	<b>% Change</b>	
General	34,340,183	33,022,767	(1,317,416)	-3.84%	*
Solid-Waste	2,852,000	2,698,300	(153,700)	-5.39%	**
Power Utility	670,766	990,000	319,234	47.59%	***
Water	4,039,000	4,578,000	539,000	13.34%	
Sewer	7,353,000	7,851,000	498,000	6.77%	
Refuse	13,000	356,000	343,000	2638.46%	****

\*Net of additions to and use of reserves to be comparable with fiscal 2014.

\*\*Net of use of reserves to be comparable with fiscal 2014.

\*\*\*Net of transfer from general and use of reserves to be comparable with fiscal 2014.

\*\*\*\*Net of transfer from general

# Refuse Collection Proposals

Units	Annual Cost		
	Option 1 - City Presented	Option 2 - Councilor Cuddy	Option 3 - Councilor McCormick
1	128.00	-	44.50
2	243.00	115.00	100.00
3	347.00	219.00	150.00
4	440.00	312.00	200.00
5	524.00	396.00	250.00
6+	600.00	472.00	300.00
<b>Commercial</b>			
Small	186.00	186.00	186.00
Large	295.00	295.00	295.00

# Refuse Collection Proposals

	Option 1 - City Presented	Option 2 - Councilor Cuddy	Option 3 - Councilor McCormick
General Support (Amounts transferred from General to Refuse Collection)	-	711,736	525,000
Increase in tax levy	150,114	548,114	151,114
Tax levy increase	1.34%	4.89%	1.35%
Increase on a \$100,000 single unit (\$1,258 in 2013-14)			
Tax Increase	16.86	61.52	17.02
Fee for Refuse	128.00	-	44.50
	<u>144.86</u>	<u>61.52</u>	<u>61.52</u>

# Refuse Collection Proposals

	<u>Option 1</u>	<u>Option 2</u>	<u>Option 3</u>
General Support (Amounts transferred from General to Refuse Collection)	-	711,736	525,000
Increase in tax levy	150,114	548,114	151,114
Tax levy increase over 2013-14	1.34%	4.89%	1.35%
Increase on a \$100,000 single unit:			
2013-14 City Tax	1,258.00	1,258.00	1,258.00
2014-15 Proposed Tax Increase	16.86	61.52	17.02
New Proposed Fee for Refuse	128.00	-	44.50
Proposed 2014-15 Total Cost	<u>1,402.86</u>	<u>1,319.52</u>	<u>1,319.52</u>



# Refuse Collection Proposal – Option 3

- Exempt:
  - Multi-units and businesses which are too large for the City to service
    - Must file exemption annually
    - Must provide documentation of private hauler
  - Property owners who can document units are vacant for six months or more and are not advertising for rent
- Fee to be included on the tax bill
- Enforcement:
  - The hiring of a keyboard specialist in code enforcement will allow enforcement